

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,880	10/30/2003	Richard Gill Bonner	71636	9097
75	90 01/11/2005		EXAM	INER
Dennis V. Carmen			BOYKIN, TERRESSA M	
Eastman Chemical Company P.O. Box 511			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Kingsport, TN 37662-5075			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/696,880	BONNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Terressa M. Boykin	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u>	<u>arch 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	'					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	o3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers .						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the oreology and the correction of the oreology and the oreology and the correction of the oreology and the oreology are oreology and the oreology are oreology and the oreology and the oreology and the oreology are oreology and the oreology and the oreology are oreology are oreology and the oreology are oreology	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive n (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-30-03.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by over USP 5523361 see abstract, cols. 1-3, examples 1-6, claims.

With regard to applicants' claims 1-8 and 12 and 14 note that USP 5523361 discloses polyethylene naphthalate pellets coated with an alkylene carbonate such as ethylene carbonate or propylene carbonate crystallize more quickly and at lower temperature than uncoated pellets, reducing the tendency of the pellets to stick together during the crystallization process prior to solid-state polymerization. The process is particularly suitable for two classes of polyethylene naphthalates: those having 75 or more mole percent naphthalate units and those having 25 or less mole percent naphthalate units, based on total aromatic units in the polyester.

PEN copolyesters can be prepared by including other acids and/or diols in the polymerization mixture, such as an alkylene diol such as 1,3-propylene diol, 1,4-butylene diol, diethylene glycol and the like, and an aromatic acid (or alkyl ester thereof) such as terephthalic acid or isophthalic acid. The

Art Unit: 1711

currently preferred PEN's are polyethylene naphthalate homopolyesters and polyethylene naphthalate/terephthalate copolyesters.

Note that Examples 1-6 discloses the use of a mixture of PET/PEN. The crystallization process involves heating the amorphous PEN polymer to its crystallization temperature (about 180 -200 C.). As the temperature is raised, however, the polymer passes through its sticking temperature (about 140 C.). In comparison with other polyesters such as polyethylene terephthalate, PEN pellets crystallize rather slowly, and clumping of the pellets will often occur before the crystallization process is complete.

The alkylene carbonate can be applied, for example, by placing the pellets in an aqueous solution of the alkylene carbonate and then removing the water by, for example, flash evaporation; by spraying the alkylene carbonate on the pellets; or by stirring a mixture of the pellets and solid alkylene carbonate. The preferred technique is to introduce the alkylene carbonate as an aqueous solution into the solid-state polymerization vessel, add the amorphous PEN feed polymer pellets, and blend the mixture while evaporating off the water. This process can be carried out prior to or simultaneously with devolatilization of the PEN polymer under reduced pressure and/or inert gas flow at an elevated temperature lower than the polymer crystallization temperature.

According to the reference, solid-state polymerization is carried out by

Art Unit: 1711

heating the crystalline pellets in a suitable solid-state reactor to a temperature greater than about 210 C. under reduced pressure and/or inert gas flow for a period which can vary widely depending upon the particular polymer but will generally be greater than 7 hours, often greater than 20 hours for PEN copolyesters, to achieve an intrinsic viscosity greater than that of the feed polymer, e.g., greater than about 0.5 dl/g for high-naphthalate polyesters and greater than about 0.6 for low-naphthalate copolyesters.

With regard to claims 13 and 14 note the reference states that the process can be carried out prior to or simultaneously with devolatilization of the PEN polymer under reduced pressure and/or inert gas flow at an elevated temperature lower than the polymer crystallization temperature.

With regard to claim 15 wherein the water content is less than 2%, note that since the resulting product is noted as having no sticking characteristics which would inherently mean that the water content is negligible, i.e. less than 2%.

Thus the reference discloses a method for cooling PET/PEN pellets prepared from the same method as claimed by applicants. Since the reference discloses that a PET and PEN composition may be employed as noted in cols.

1 and 2 above, there appears to be no significant difference between the reference and that which is claimed by applicant(s). Any differences not specifically mentioned appear to be conventional. Consequently, the claimed invention cannot be deemed as novel and accordingly is unpatentable.

Art Unit: 1711

35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 5523361 see abstract, cols. 1-3, examples 1-6, claims.

USP 5523361 discloses polyethylene naphthalate pellets coated with an alkylene carbonate such as ethylene carbonate or propylene carbonate which crystallize more quickly at lower temperature than uncoated pellets, reducing the tendency of the pellets to stick together during the crystallization process prior to solid-state polymerization.

As noted previously, he crystallization process involves heating the amorphous PEN polymer to its crystallization temperature (about 180 - 200 C.).

The alkylene carbonate can be applied, for example, by placing the pellets in an aqueous solution of the alkylene carbonate and then removing the water by, for example, flash evaporation; by spraying the alkylene carbonate on the pellets; or by stirring a mixture of the pellets and solid alkylene carbonate. The preferred technique is to introduce the alkylene carbonate as an aqueous solution into the solid-state polymerization vessel, add the

Art Unit: 1711

amorphous PEN feed polymer pellets, and blend the mixture while evaporating off the water. This process can be carried out prior to or simultaneously with devolatilization of the PEN polymer under reduced pressure and/or inert gas flow at an elevated temperature lower than the polymer crystallization temperature.

Thus, the reference discloses a process for cooling polyethylene carbonate pellets prepared from the same method as claimed by applicants except that the naphthalate pellets may be mixed with terephthalate moieties. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the method as claimed in the reference since 1) the structural characteristics of PET and PEN are so similar, one would expect analogous results when the method is employed with PET alone. Further, the reference discloses that examples 1-6 of the reference discloses that the pellets contain a mixture of both PET and PEN and thus respond to the reaction the same without adverse reaction to the PEN. Further the reference discloses in col. 3 lines 7-9 that the resulting solid-state polyester can be used in a wide variety applications, including those that may be made by PET alone such as molded bottles and trays.

With regard to claims 9, 10, and 11 note the process of recirculating recovered product of PET is a common process in the art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the method as claimed in the reference since such recirculating, or

Art Unit: 1711

continuous processing is a common practice in the art.

Consequently, the claimed invention cannot be deemed as unobviousness and accordingly is unpatentable.

Correspondence

Please note that the <u>cited</u> U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, <u>all</u> U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (<u>www.uspto.gov</u>), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants may be referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Terressa Boykin whose telephone number is 571 272-1069. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30am to 3:00pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. The general information number for listings of personnel is (571-272-1700).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Art Unit: 1711

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

tmb

Examiner Terressa Boykin

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711